App. F. IX-4

Previous references.

Revenue 6/3/46

1932.

File No. 49-32

STA 94-28 (STA) Serial Nos. 102

(5 to 8)

Later references.

STA 177-31-(3-5)

" 361-361(1-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1050

Par B for August 1932

SUBJECT.

Distribution of the maintenance allowance of Rs 1/- allowed to Nanjammanni as widow to the widows Annamiamma & A K Kanjamma of Raichur family

8(2) Order/Memo, Dt 13.8.32

Amending the above

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L.R File No. 57-16

1916.

Serial Nos. 56 & 57

(Land Revenue)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 56 and 57

Part B for December 1916

SUBJECT.

Petition from Krishna Chetty, Chikka Linganahalli Arasikere Tk stating that the Shekedar has allowed people to trespass on his land etc

---

To Deputy Commr Hassan Dt

No R 5706 dt 6-12-16

Forwards the petition for disposal.

B N. Krishna Murthy
5.1.17

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 49 of 1932-33

Serial No.	
1.	Letter No. 256/S.H. Inq Dt 20/7/32 from the Collector forwarding for orders the Report of the S.H. Inquiry Officer on the disposal of the maintenance allowance of Rs 5/- enjoyed by Nanjammanni, daughter of the late Venkatapathi Naick
	The facts of the case are as follows.
	Kaneti Naick, a Royavaru Pollegar draws a varavaram of a Pollegar pension of Rs 87-8-9
L	Out of the above pension, a sum of Rs 29/-
See 27-24-13	was treated as the share of late R.P. Venkatapathi Naick the son of late R.P. Kaneti Naick under the Govt orders of 29th August 1925.
	R.P. Venkatapathi Naick has two wives, Annamanyamma & AK Kammamma.
	R.P. Venkatapathi Naick having ill-treated his wife Annamanyamma, a legal divorce was effected between the two and the lady lived separately from her husband. On separation from her husband she ~~sek~~ claimed a maintenance allowance of Rs 25/- p.m out of the family pension of Rs 87-8-9 drawn by her father-in-law late R.P. Kaneti Naick
	On a consideration of all the facts of the case including the circumstances which accounts for the separation of Annamanyamma from her husband, Govt treated Rs 29/- as the share of R.P. Venkatapathi Naick out of the ~~sum of~~ family

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

pension of Rs. 87-8-9, and out of this share Rs 15/- was paid to Annammiamma in G.O. No 7(1378 Sta 27-2-13 dt 29-8-25.

On the death of R. S. Venkatapathi Naik, his share of the pension of Rs 29/- was distributed in the following manner.

1. Nanjammamma (Daughter by 1st wife) Rs 5-
2. First wife. " 12/-
3. Second wife. " 12/-

It is reported that Nanjammamma, the daughter of Annammiamma was married to Alagiri Swami and the disposal of the ~~maintenance~~ maintenance allowance of Rs 5/- enjoyed by her has now crystallized.

The D. H. T. Officer states that the two wives of the deceased Venkatapathi Naik and his father (Mr R. S. Koneti Naik) claim that the whole of the allowance should be distributed among themselves in full 1 ~~Akkamamma~~ 2nd wife claims Rs 25 2-8-0 as her share of the pension out of Rs 87/- while 2 ~~Annamanjammamma~~ Annamma 1st wife A.K. Kamalamma. ~~2nd wife~~ of the deceased Venkata Pathi Naik, the mother of the girl claims the entire sum of Rs 87/- in view of the marriage expenses borne by her and also educational & other expenses

L

JE 5429
Sta 94-28-8
Flagged M-

General and Revenue Secretariat S.M. File No. 47 of 1932

Serial No.

devolves upon her

3. R. P. Kaveri Naik, grandfather of the girl claims the allowance of Rs 5/- on the ground that he bore a major portion of the marriage expenses of the ~~girl~~ Nagamma but this statement is denied by the girl as being grossly untrue in her petition of 8/7/32

The S. H. T. Officer recommends the Continuance of the allowance in question in favour of the two surviving brothers of the late Venkatapathi Naik in two equal shares in as much as the allowance was carved out of the pension which should have fallen to the lot of the father of the girl.

His reference is invited to para 2 of the G.O. of 19th Jan 1929 the para reads as "The question of the readjustment of the shares should be examined after the daughter is married".

In view of the recommendations of the S. H. T Officer it is for orders whether the allowance of Rs 5/- may be equally distributed between the two brothers Kaveri and Nagamma Which if approved the pension of Rs 12/- which each of them is now enjoying will be enhanced to Rs 14-8-0 p.m.

H 5429
+a 94-28-8 H.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

It is also for consideration if the entire allowance in question may go to the lot of Annammah, mother of the girl in view of the fact that the mother brought up the girl till the attained the marriageable age, bore the expenses of the marriage and the girls' Conventional Expenses.

In this references.

The proposal of the S.H.T.O. Officer to distribute the remainder Rs 57/- equally between the two widows may perhaps be sanctioned for the reasons mentioned by him.

(Sd) [illegible]
3/8

Whether this may be done or the Entire amount given to the mother is for consideration.

1st Member.

4/8

S.H.T.O's recommendation Rs 57/- may be sanctioned. I think it is only just that the two widows should benefit equally from the amt released by the Marriage of the daughter.

[illegible]
2.8.32

Submitted with a draft G.O. for approval

[illegible]
10/8

Issue
[illegible]
11/8

This seems from the petition of Ranjammal, that the two mother were living apart as separate families since long, her mother's father & that he bore the major expenses of the marriage.

[illegible]

[illegible] 5 AUG. 1932 No. [illegible]

[illegible] TYPING [illegible]

5

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — S.H. File No. 49 of 193 2

Serial No.	
2	To the Comptroller No H 995 Q/16 Aug 32 Sanctions the proposals of the S.H.T Officer.

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

S.A. 49-32-1

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

FINANCIAL SECTT. 353 27 JULY 1932 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

No. 254/S.H.Try Enclos 8

Dated the 20th July *1932.*

S. Shamanna Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 25 JUL 1932

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for orders of Government the report of the officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore, on the disposal of the Maintenance allowance of Rs 5/- enjoyed by Nanjammanni, daughter of the late Venkatapathi Naik, which was sanctioned to her under Government Order No.5429/S&A 94-28-dated 19-1-1929.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Venkatesalyengar

Comptroller

CV

M.S.Ramachendra Rao Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Officer in charge of the

State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.

To,

M.Venkatesa Iyengar Esq.,M.A.,

Comptroller to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, B A N G A L O R E.

- - - - - - - - - - -

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the marginally noted petitions and other papers received from certain stipendiaries of the Rayadrug Polleyam family in regard to the [illegible] of the maintenance allowance of Rs. 5/- hitherto enjoyed by [illegible] daughter of the late Venkatapathi Naik first [illegible]

(1) Petition dated 26.2.32 of Ammannamma second wife of Venkatapathi Naik.

(2) Petition dated 11.4.32 of Ammannamma fist wife of Venkatapathi Naik.

(3) Petition dated 22.3.32, & 2.7.32 of Kanjammanni Mr. Koneti Naik.

(4) Petition dated 8.7.32 of Nanjammanni daughter of Venkatapthi Naik.

- - -

as sanctioned to her by G.O.No. 5429/SA 94-28 dated 19.1.29. I have the [illegible] to state that since Nanjammanni was married to Mr. [illegible], on 11.2.32, the question of the readjustment of the shares will have to be re-examined now in the light of para 2 of the aforesaid Government order. Naturally every one of the sharers claims that the whole of the allowance should go to himself or herself. But I am of opinion that inasmuch as it is an allowance carved out of the pension which should have fallen to the share of the late Venkatapathi Naik the father of the girl, its continuance should accure for the benefit only of both the surviving heirs of the said Venkatapathi Naik viz., his two widows Ammanniamma and Akkayamma alias Ammanna who have got equal rights to the same. I accordingly recommend that the allowance of Rs.5/- per mensum may be equally distributed between them so that the pension of Rs.12-0-0 which each of them is now enjoying will be enhenced to Rs.14-8-0 per mensum, [illegible]

P.T.O.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section

File No. 49-3

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 16/8/ 1932.

Signed by Flag H' M. A. Rao

Read— G.O. No H 5429 | SM 94-28-8 dt 19th Jan 29 sanctioning, among other things a maintenance allowance of Rs 5/- per. to Ranjammanni, ~~the~~ daughter of Ammanniamma (wife of the late R.V. of Rayadrug Odliyar family Venkatapathi naik) till her marriage.

2. Letter No 254 | S.H. Trg dt 20th July 1932 from the Comptroller forwarding for orders the report of the S.H.T. officer re: the disposal of the maintenance allowance of Rs 5/- enjoyed by the said Ranjammanni, ~~daughter of the late Venkatapathi Naik~~ as she is married since.

Order No H 9-95

~~After holding a detailed enquiry~~

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ? Yes Sanction

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

The S.D.T. officer ~~has~~ recommended that the ~~vacant~~ allowance of Rs 3 released / remained vacant in the manner of distribution by Nanjammanni (~~Trustee~~) may be ~~ignored and~~ distributed equally between Annamanimma & Akkayamma, heirs of the late Venkatapathi Naick, to fulfil the pledge of Rs 12-0-0 (twelve) each of them is now drawing may be enhanced to Rs 14-8-0 p.m. This recommendation is sanctioned

I am, are pleased to accord sanction to the distribution as above ~~with effect from 11-2-32~~

Asst/11/32
for Chief Secretary to Govt.

WW

To The Collector of Chittoor (returning the enclosures).

List of Enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:—

Government Order No.Fi.5429/S&A 94-28-8 dated 19th January 1929 sanctioning, among other things, a maintenance allowance of Rs.5/- per mensem to Nanjammanni, daughter of Ammanniamma (wife of the late R.P.Venkatapathi Naik of Hayadrug Pollegar family) till her marriage.

2. Letter No.254/S.H.Try dated 20th July 1932 from the Comptroller forwarding for orders the report of the State Huzur Treasury Officer regarding the disposal of the maintenance allowance of Rs.5/- enjoyed by the said Nanjammanni as she is married since.

Order No. Fl 995 . S.A. 49-32-2

Dated, Bangalore, 13th August 1932.

The State Huzur Treasury Officer recommends that the allowance of Rs.5/- released by the marriage of Nanjammanni may be distributed equally between Ammanniamma and Akkayamma, widows of the late Venkatapathi Naik, so that the pension of Rs.12-0-0 which each of them is now drawing may be enhanced to Rs.14-8-0 per mensem. This recommendation is sanctioned.

Aswatha Rao
for Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller to Government
(returning the enclosures).